

## Miller, Paul

---

**From:** Cargill IV, John G. (DNREC) <John.Cargill@state.de.us>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 22, 2016 7:45 AM  
**To:** Miller, Paul; Baggett, Steve  
**Cc:** Schulte, Bob (DNREC); Ratsep, Timothy T. (DNREC); Wolfe, Randall S. (DNREC)  
**Subject:** RE: PCB Analysis for Amtrak samples

Thanks for the quick answer, Paul. I'll follow up with Bob Schulte today.

*John G. Cargill, IV, P.G.  
Hydrologist IV  
Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control  
Division of Waste and Hazardous Substances  
Site Investigation and Restoration Section  
391 Lukens Drive  
New Castle, DE 19720  
(302)395-2622 ph.  
(302)395-2601 fax  
Email: [john.cargill@state.de.us](mailto:john.cargill@state.de.us)*

---

**From:** Miller, Paul [mailto:Paul.Miller@stantec.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 22, 2016 7:39 AM  
**To:** Cargill IV, John G. (DNREC) <John.Cargill@state.de.us>; Baggett, Steve <Steve.Baggett@stantec.com>  
**Cc:** Schulte, Bob (DNREC) <Bob.Schulte@state.de.us>; Ratsep, Timothy T. (DNREC) <Timothy.Ratsep@state.de.us>; Wolfe, Randall S. (DNREC) <Randall.Wolfe@state.de.us>  
**Subject:** RE: PCB Analysis for Amtrak samples

John, we received that request from Kelly Bunker at the USEPA. It was a comment pertaining to our TSCA Self-Implementing Plan for the Building 15.1 Equipment Enclosure. Let us know if you need any other information. Thanks

---

**From:** Cargill IV, John G. (DNREC) [<mailto:John.Cargill@state.de.us>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 22, 2016 7:37 AM  
**To:** Miller, Paul; Baggett, Steve  
**Cc:** Schulte, Bob (DNREC); Ratsep, Timothy T. (DNREC); Wolfe, Randall S. (DNREC)  
**Subject:** PCB Analysis for Amtrak samples

Paul & Steve:

Bob Schulte, DNREC Chemists, informed me yesterday that there has been a request to use Methods 3540c or 3550b for PCB extraction instead of Method 3546 for some recent samples from the Amtrak Wilmington Yard. According to Bob, the two methods suggested are obsolete and more costly than Method 3546. Further, the two methods that are being suggested will not provide good data because site PCB concentrations are too high, would thus require too much dilution, and would result in invalid data. As such, the proposed methods are unacceptable under the HSCA program. We acknowledge, however, that they are all approved USEPA SW846 methodologies. So we have a little dilemma . . .

It is unclear to DNREC whether the request is coming from Stantec, or whether it's coming from USEPA. Can one of you please clarify for us so that we can get the matter resolved quickly? If the request is coming from USEPA, can you please tell us who initiated the request so that we can contact them directly?

Thanks.

John

*John G. Cargill, IV, P.G.  
Hydrologist IV  
Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control  
Division of Waste and Hazardous Substances  
Site Investigation and Restoration Section  
391 Lukens Drive  
New Castle, DE 19720  
(302)395-2622 ph.  
(302)395-2601 fax  
Email: [john.cargill@state.de.us](mailto:john.cargill@state.de.us)*